

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/557,696	04/25/2000	Xiangxin Bi	N19.12-0035	8550	
24113 7.	590 06/09/2003				
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			EXAMINER		
			GORDON, BRIAN R		
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743	フる	
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, i				A
Advisory Action		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/557,696	BI ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Brian R. Gordon	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this com	munication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2003 FAILS Therefore, further action by the applicant final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may ocondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed becamination (RCE) in compliance with 37	is required to a nly be either: (1 Notice of Appea	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applica	ly to a ation in
<u>P</u>	ERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months fr b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the m no event, however, will the statutory perion ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE F 706.07(f).	nailing date of this a od for reply expire FIRST REPLY WAS	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for later than SIX MONTHS from the mails SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	ing date of the final reject FHE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 (see have been filed is the date for purposes of determined under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply remained imply filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjusted.	rmining the period of expiration date of eccived by the Offi	of extension and the corresponding and the shortened statutory period for repl ce later than three months after the ma	nount of the fee. The app y originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension		•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will no	ot be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that wou	ıld require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new ma	tter (see Note t	pelow);	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place to issues for appeal; and/or	he application i	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims NOTE:	without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	following rejec	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(canceling the non-allowable claim((s) would	· ,	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or capplication in condition for allowant	c)⊠ request for ce because: <u>Se</u>	reconsideration has been consecutive reconsideration has been consecutive reconstruction sheet.	sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be raised by the Examiner in the final		ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposex explanation of how the new or ame				and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will	be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>64-68</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>8,9,11,45-52,5</u>	5 and 62.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10,12-14,38-4</u>		<u>nd 63</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from considera				
8. The proposed drawing correction fil	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.
9. Note the attached Information Discl			•	
0.		(-/(· · = · · · · · ·) · · spoi · · · · (0) ·		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to the amendment to claim 1, which added the phrase of "the second collector operably distinct from the first collecter." does not imply the limitations as suggested by applicant's remarks (page 13) that state this means that the collectors are in prallel rather than series. The specification on page 10, first full paragraph, teaches that the quanties of particles may be collected sequentially (in series) or parallel. As such, the arguments as stated by applicant are not commenseruate in scope with that of the specificattion. As to the arguments directed to the rejection of claims 38, 53, 54, 56-60, and 63, which state that the Marsh patent does not teach or suggest formation of a mixture of powders. Claim 38 states a method for producing a mixture of compositions not powders. The examiner hereby asserts that in order to produce the powders as taught by Marsh reactants (compostions) are combined in the drying chamber (see column 2, lines 45-60; column 3, lines). More specifically, it is taught that the admixing reactants comprising an organic solvent, at least one hydrolyzable metal compound, and a sufficient amount of water is supplied to the chamber (not only is the water used to cool the feedline, but it is essential in the hydrolsis of the admixture). Also column 5, lines 19 states the surfactants (compositions) may also be added to the admixture. As to the argument of the particles of Marsh remaining unchanged as recited in lines 33-37, this is directed to the size or diameter of the particles not the chemical composition of the particles. The examiner would also like to state that the method of claim 38 is broad in the sense of where a method of baking a cake or any other dish in which liquid ingredients are mixed in one container and dry ingredients mixed in a second container and then the two mixtures combined to form one composition would read on the claim. For reasons given herein and in the previous office action of paper no. 20, the rejections are hereby maintained. . .

/ Uill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700